Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography

### ISSN 0907-4449

## Masayuki Kamo,<sup>a</sup> Norio Kudo,<sup>a</sup> Woo Cheol Lee,<sup>a</sup> Hiroyuki Motoshima<sup>b</sup> and Masaru Tanokura<sup>a,b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan, and <sup>b</sup>RIKEN Harima Institute/SPring-8, Kouto 1-1-1, Mikazuki-cho, Sayo-gun, Hyogo 679-5148, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: amtanok@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp Crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic analysis of peptide deformylase from *Thermus thermophilus* HB8

Peptide deformylase (PDF) is responsible for cleaving the formyl group at the N-terminus of nascent polypeptide chains in eubacteria and is essential to bacterial cell viability. A recombinant PDF of the thermophilic bacterium *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 has been crystallized by the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion method using PEG 4000 as a precipitant. The crystals belonged to the tetragonal space group  $P4_1$  or  $P4_3$ , with unit-cell parameters a = b = 62.58, c = 105.27 Å, and are most likely to contain two molecules in an asymmetric unit, giving a crystal volume per protein weight ( $V_{\rm M}$ ) of 2.3 Å<sup>3</sup> Da<sup>-1</sup> and a solvent content of 46.7%.

#### 1. Introduction

A conserved and distinctive feature of the translation system in prokaryotes, chloroplasts and mitochondria is that every nascent polypeptide bears an N-terminal formyl group. Peptide deformylase (PDF; EC 3.5.1.88) catalyzes the hydrolytic removal of the N-terminal formyl group from such nascent polypeptides (Meinnel et al., 1993). PDF is essential for bacterial cell growth (Meinnel & Blanquet, 1994; Margolis et al., 2000). PDF is a unique and highly labile metallopeptidase which utilizes an Fe<sup>2+</sup> ion to catalyze amide-bond hydrolysis (Rajagopalan et al., 1997). Substitution of Ni<sup>2+</sup> or Co<sup>2+</sup> for the Fe<sup>2+</sup> cofactor leads to the retention of almost full catalytic activity, whereas substitution of  $Zn^{2+}$  reduces the activity by over two orders of magnitude (Groche et al., 1998; Rajagopalan et al., 2000).

Several high-resolution structures of the bacterial enzyme are available from Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Thermotoga maritima, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Bacillus stearothermophilus (Baldwin et al., 2002; Becker et al., 1998; Chan et al., 1997; Guilloteau et al., 2002; Kreusch et al., 2003). The structure of PDF from the eukaryotic parasite Plasmodium falciparum has also been elucidated (Kumar et al., 2002; Robien et al., 2004). The PDF family is divided into two classes (Meinnel, 2000): Gram-negative [G(-)] and Gram-positive [G(+)] types. The G(-) PDFs share  $\sim 30\%$  sequence identity with one another within the class. Thermus thermophilus PDF (*Tth*PDF, 22 kDa) belongs to the G(-)type and shows 36% sequence identity to E. coli PDF (EcoPDF). T. thermophilus can survive at up to 358 K. The structure of the PDF from the thermophilic bacterium T. maritima (TmaPDF) was recently solved, Received 19 March 2004 Accepted 30 April 2004

but its C-terminal portion was not modelled owing to disorder (Kreusch et al., 2003).

To gain additional insight into the molecular basis of adaptation of PDF to high temperature, we have carried out a crystallographic study of *Tth*PDF. Here, we report the results of our crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic analysis of *Tth*PDF.

#### 2. Methods and results

#### 2.1. Cloning, overexpression and purification

Recombinant TthPDF was overexpressed in E. coli strain BL21(DE3)pLysS using the pET system (Novagen). Expression of TthPDF was induced by adding 1 mM IPTG. After induction, the E. coli culture was incubated at 310 K for an additional 3 h and then harvested by centrifugation. The cells were suspended in 10 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.0 and then disrupted by sonication on ice. The cell lysate was incubated at 333 K for 10 min. After centrifugation at 40 000g for 60 min at 277 K, the supernatant was applied onto a TSKgel Blue 5pw column (21.5  $\times$  150 mm; Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan) and eluted with a linear gradient of 0-1.0 M NaCl in 20 mM MES pH 6.0. TthPDF-containing fractions were applied onto a Mono Q 10/10 column (Amersham Biotech) and eluted with a linear gradient of 0-1.0 M NaCl in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0. TthPDF-containing fractions were applied onto a Bio-Scale CHT10-I column (BioRad) and eluted with a linear gradient of 10-500 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.0. The fractions containing TthPDF were then pooled and concentrated with an Apollo protein-concentration apparatus (Orbital Biosciences). Protein concentrations were determined by the BioRad protein assay kit using BSA as a standard. The protein solution was quickly

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography



Figure 1

Crystals of *Tth*PDF grown by the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion method at 288 K.



#### Figure 2

X-ray diffraction image from a TthPDF crystal. The edge of the detector corresponds to a resolution of 1.79 Å.

frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at 193 K.

# 2.2. Crystallization and X-ray data collection

Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained in a week by mixing 2  $\mu$ l protein solution (20 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> in 10 m*M* Tris–HCl pH 8.0) and 2.0  $\mu$ l of a reservoir solution consisting of 20%(*w*/*v*) PEG 4000, 0.1 *M* Tris–HCl pH 8.0 and 0.2 *M* sodium acetate. Drops were equilibrated against 500  $\mu$ l reservoir solution at 288 K. Fig. 1 shows typical crystals (0.05 × 0.05 × 1.0 mm).

Native X-ray diffraction data sets were collected using cryocooled (100 K) crystals at BL41XU at SPring-8 (Harima, Japan). The diffraction data were collected using a  $1.0^{\circ}$  oscillation with a crystal-to-detector distance of 130 mm. The crystals diffracted X-rays to 1.81 Å (Fig. 2). The data were indexed and scaled with *HKL*2000 and

#### Table 1

Crystal parameters of TthPDF.

Values in	n narentheses	are for the	highest	resolution	chell
values ii	i parenticises	are for the	ingnest	resolution	snen.

X-ray source	SPring-8 BL41XU		
Wavelength (Å)	1.000		
Unit-cell parameters (Å)	a = 62.58, b = 62.58,		
	c = 105.27		
Resolution range (Å)	50.0-1.81 (1.87-1.81)		
Observed reflections	274721		
Unique reflections	36620		
Data completeness (%)	99.3 (96.3)		
Redundancy	7.5 (6.4)		
R <sub>merge</sub> †	0.040 (0.217)		
$\langle I \rangle / \langle \sigma(I) \rangle$	53.1 (6.4)		

†  $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle| / \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$ , where  $I_i(hkl)$  is the *i*th intensity measurement of reflection *hkl*, including symmetry-related reflections, and  $\langle I(hkl) \rangle$  is its average.

*SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997). The statistics of data collection are summarized in Table 1. The systematic absences showed that the crystals belonged to the space group  $P4_1$  or  $P4_3$ . The unit-cell parameters were a = b = 62.58, c = 105.27 Å. The asymmetric unit was most likely to contain two molecules of *Tth*PDF, giving a crystal volume per protein weight of 2.3 Å<sup>3</sup> Da<sup>-1</sup> and a solvent content of 46.7% (Matthews, 1968).

Our initial attempts to solve the structure of *Tth*PDF by molecular-replacement procedures using EcoPDF as a model with MOLREP and EPMR failed. The quality of the electron-density maps did not allow tracing of the polypeptide chain. The selenomethionine-substituted crystals only diffracted X-rays to 10 Å and we were not able to find any isomorphous derivatives. The structure of *Tth*PDF has been solved by the molecular-replacement method using the atomic coordinates of TmaPDF (PDB code 11me; Kreusch et al., 2003) as a search model (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994), which shares  $\sim 40\%$  amino-acid sequence identity with TthPDF. Compared with the structure of EcoPDF, global structural differences occurred in the C-terminal region. The C-terminal helix of TthPDF was kinked, whereas that of EcoPDF was straight. This may be one of the reasons why TthPDF is thermostable. The structural details will be described elsewhere.

We would like to thank Dr Koji Nagata for his critical reading of the manuscript. The synchrotron-radiation experiments were performed at BL18B at the Photon Factory at the High Energy Accelerator Research Organization with the approval of the Photon Factory Program Advisory Committee (Proposal No. 2002G152) and at BL41XU at SPring-8 with the approval of the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (Proposal Nos. 2002A0406-NL1np, 2002A0695-RL1-np and 2002B0569-NL1-np). This work was performed under the 'Structurome' Project of RIKEN Harima Institute and the protein-sample preparation carried out by Professor Kuramitsu and his coworkers is greatly appreciated.

#### References

- Baldwin, E. T., Harris, M. S., Yem, A. W., Wolfe, C. L., Vosters, A. F., Curry, K. A., Murray, R. W., Bock, J. H., Marshall, V. P., Cialdella, J. I., Merchant, M. H., Choi, G. & Deibel, R. Jr (2002). J. Biol. Chem. 277, 31163–31171.
- Becker, A., Schlichting, I., Kabsch, W., Groche, D., Schultz, S. & Wagner, V. (1998). *Nature Struct. Biol.* 5, 1053–1058.
- Chan, M. K., Gong, W., Rajagopalan, P. T. R., Hao, B., Tsai, C. M. & Pei, D. (1997). *Biochemistry*, 36, 13904–13909.
- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). Acta Cryst. D50, 760–763.
- Groche, D., Becker, A., Schlichting, I., Kabsch, W., Schultz, S. & Wagner, A. F. V. (1998). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 246, 342–346.
- Guilloteau, J.-P., Mathieu, M., Giglione, C., Blanc, V., Dupuy, A., Chevrier, M., Gil, P., Famechon, A., Meinnel, T. & Mikol, V. (2002). *J. Mol. Biol.* **320**, 951–962.
- Kreusch, A., Spraggon, G., Lee, C. C., Klock, H., McMullan, D., Ng, K., Shin, T., Vincent, J., Warner, I., Ericson, C. & Lesley, S. A. (2003). *J. Mol. Biol.* **330**, 309–321.
- Kumar, A., Nguyen, K. T., Srivathsan, S., Ornstein, B., Turley, S., Hirsh, I., Pei, D. & Hol, W. G. J. (2002). *Structure*, **10**, 357–367.
- Margolis, P. S., Hackbarth, C. J., Young, D. C., Wang, W., Chen, D., Yuan, Z., White, R. & Trias, J. (2000). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44, 1825–1831.

Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491-497.

- Meinnel, T. (2000). Parasitol. Today, 16, 165–168. Meinnel, T. & Blanquet, S. (1994). J. Bacteriol.
- **176**, 7387–7390. Meinnel, T., Mechulam, Y. & Blanquet, S. (1993).
- Biochimie, **75**, 1061–1075. Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods Enzymol.* **276**, 307–326.
- Rajagopalan, P. T. R., Grimme, S. & Pei, D. (2000). *Biochemistry*, **39**, 779–790.
- Rajagopalan, P. T. R., Yu, X. C. & Pei, D. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **119**, 12418–12419.
- Robien, M. A., Nguyen, K. T., Kumar, A., Hirsh, I., Turley, S., Pei, D. & Hol., W. G. J. (2004). *Protein Sci.* 13, 1155–1163.